氯化三有机基锡和氯化三有机基铅与三苯基氧胂 配合物的合成和晶体结构研究

LO Kong Mun^a 牛景扬^{*,b} 王敬平^b (^a 马来亚大学化学系,马来西亚吉隆坡 59100) (^b 河南大学化学系,开封 475001)

描述了一水合三苯基氧胂 Ph₃AsO · H₂O(1)及其与氯化三苯基锡,氯化三对氯苯基锡及氯化三苯基铅配 合物[Ph₃SnCl(OAsPh₃)](2),[(ClPh)₃SnCl(OAsPh₃)](3)和[Ph₃PbCl(OAsPh₃)](4)的合成和晶体结构,晶体数据 分别为(1)单斜晶系,空间群 P2₁/n, a = 9.4604(7), b = 16.6347(7), c = 11.1544(11)Å, β = 113.233(6)°, V = 1613.0(2)Å³, Z = 4, D_{caled} = 1.401g · cm⁻³, R = 0.0576, Rw = 0.0683; (2)单斜晶系,空间群 P2₁/n, a = 18.235 (4), b = 18.203(4), c = 18.917(4)Å, β = 94.07(3)°, V = 6263(2)Å³, Z = 8, D_{caled} = 1.501g · cm⁻³, R = 0.0510, Rw = 0.0648; (3)三斜晶系,空间群 P1, a = 12.9787(11), b = 13.873(2), c = 20.183(3)Å, a = 98.051(10)°, β = 99.176(9)°, γ = 91.212(8)°, V = 3548(7)Å³, Z = 4, D_{caled} = 1.518g · cm⁻³, R = 0.074, Rw = 0.1248; (4)单斜 晶系,空间群 P2₁/n, a = 18.336(2), b = 18.316(2), c = 18.810(2)°, β = 94.250(10)°, V = 6299.8(11)Å³, Z = 8, D_{caled} = 1.679g · cm⁻³, R = 0.0808, Rw = 0.1829。三苯基胂同一个水分子共结晶,该水分子通过氢键与两个. 三苯基氧胂的氧原子结合。该配体可与氯化三有机锡和氯化三有机铅形成同构配合物。这些化合物的晶体结 构中每个不对称单元有两个[Ph₃MCl(OAsPh₃)]分子。锡和铅原子的几何构型近似于三角双锥,三个芳基环占据 赤道位置,氯和氧原子占据轴向位置。砷原子的构型基本为四面体。

| 关键词: | 有机锡 | 有机铅 | 配合物 | 晶体结构 |
|------|---------|-----------|-----|------|
| 分类号: | 0614.43 | 0621.25*8 | | |

Synthesis and Structures of Coordination Compounds of Triphenylarsine with Triorganotin and Triorganolead Chlorides

LO Kong Mun^{*} NIU Jing-Yang^{*,b} WANG Jing-Ping^b

(*Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 59100, Malaysia) (b Department of Chemistry, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001)

* 通讯联系人。

收稿日期:2000-03-06。收修改稿日期:2000-05-22。

National Science Council for Research and Development, Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment Malaysia(Grant No. 09-02-03-0004)和中国国家自然科学基金资助项目(No. 29601002)。

第一作者:Lo Kong Mun,男,44岁,博士;研究方向:有机锡合成和晶体结构。

0. 0576, Rw = 0.0683; (2) monoclinic, $P2_1 / n$, a = 18.235(4), b = 18.203(4), c = 18.917(4) Å, $\beta = 94.07(3)^\circ$, V = 6263(2) Å³, Z = 8, $D_{cated} = 1.501g \cdot cm^{-3}$, R = 0.0510, Rw = 0.0648; (3) triclinic, $P\overline{1}$, a = 12.9787(11), b = 13.873(2), c = 20.183(3) Å, $\alpha = 98.051(10)^\circ$, $\beta = 99.176$ (9)°, $\gamma = 91.212(8)^\circ$, V = 3548(7) Å³, Z = 4, $D_{caled} = 1.518g \cdot cm^{-3}$, R = 0.074, Rw = 0.1248; (4) monoclinic, $P2_1 / n$, a = 18.336(2), b = 18.316(2), c = 18.810(2) Å, $\beta = 94.250(10)^\circ$, V = 6299.8(11) Å³, Z = 8, $D_{caled} = 1.679g \cdot cm^{-3}$, R = 0.0808, Rw = 0.1829, respectively. The triphenvlarsine oxide crystallizes with one molecule of water hydrogen-bond to the oxygen atoms of two triphenylarsine oxide molecules. This ligand forms isostructural coordination compounds with triorganotin and triorganolead chlorides. There are two molecules of $[Ph_3MCl(OAsPh_3)]$ in an asymmetric unit. The geometry at the tin or lead atom is closed to a trigonal bipyramid with the 3 aryl rings occupying the equatorial position while the chlorine and oxygen atoms in the axial position. The coordination geometry of the arsenic atom is essentially tetrahedral.

Keyword: organotin organolead coordination compound crystal structure

0 Introduction

It is well known that oxygen donor ligands containing the C = O, S = O, N = O P = O or As = O groups are potential Lewis bases which are able to coordinate to metal atoms or ions ^[1,2]. Hence, the ligand Ph₃AsO forms stable coordination compounds with triorganotin chloride in which the lone pair electron on the oxygen donor atom interacts with the vacant *d* orbital of the tin atom forming a stable coordination bond. This Sn-O interaction causes an increase in the coordination number of tin from four to five and the structure usually adopt the trigonal bipyramidal geometry^[3].

This paper reports the syntheses and structures of triphenyarsine oxide monohydrate and its coordination compounds with triphenyltin chloride, tri(p-chlorophenyl)tin chloride and triphenyllead chloride with emphasis on the variation of the M-O and As-O bonds.

1 Experimental section

1.1 Synthesis and characterization

Triphenylarsine oxide (Ph₃AsO), triphenyltin chloride and triphenyllead chloride were obtained commercially and used without further purification. Tri(p-chlorophenyl)tin chloride was prepared by published method^[4].

Recrystallization of triphenylarsine oxide (compound 1) from toluene yielded colourless crystals which are suitable for X-ray diffraction study.

Preparation of coordination compound of triphenyltin (\mathbb{N}) chloride with triphenylarsine oxide (compound 2).

A mixture of triphenyltin (W) chloride (0.1g, 0.3mmol) and triphenylarsine oxide (0.1g, 0.31 mmol) were dissolved in chloroform. The mixture was heated for about 5 minutes and was filtered while hot. The filtrate was left undisturbed and a white solid was obtained. The product was recrystallized from toluene to yield 0.14g(81%) of fine colorless crystals; m. p. $164 \sim 166$ °C. Anal.

· 765 ·

Found: C, 62. 77; H, 4. 11%. C₄₀H₃₀ClOAsSn Calcd.: C, 63. 14; H, 4. 82%.¹¹⁹Sn NMR (CDCl₃, rel. to Me₄Sn): -73. 4ppm.

The following compounds were similarly prepared: tri(*p*-chlorophenyl) tin (IV) chloride. triphenylarsine oxide (compound **3**), m. p. 169 ~ 172°C. Anal. Found: C, 53.08; H, 2.98%. $C_{36}H_{27}Cl_4OAsSn$ Calcd.: C, 53.31; H, 3.36%.¹¹⁹Sn NMR(CDCl₃, rel to Me₄Sn): -120.9 ppm.

Triphenyllead (IV) chloride. triphenylarsine oxide (compound 4), m. p. $204 \sim 207^{\circ}$ C. Anal. Found: C, 53. 11; H, 3. 62%. C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb Calcd. : C, 54. 31; H, 3. 80%.

1.2 Physical measurements

Elemental analysis was carried out using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 Series II CHN Analyser. The infrared spectra of the compounds were recorded as Nujol mulls between KBr cells using a Perkin-Elmer 1600 spectrometer, and were calibrated with polystyrene. The ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectra for compound 3 and 6 were recorded on a JEOL JNM GX270 spectrometer operating at 100. 6MHz. Nuclear Overhauser suppressed conditions were used to obtain the ¹¹⁹Sn spectra; the chemical shift are relative to Me₄Sn and are accurate to ± 0.1 ppm.

1.3 X-ray analysis and crystal data

X-ray crystallographic data collection was carried out on an automated Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo $K\alpha$ (= 0. 71703Å) radiation and determination of crystal class, orientation matrix and accurate cell parameters were performed by standard procedures. Intensities were recorded at the room temperature of 27° up to a $2\theta_{max}$ of 50°. Unit cell constants for the structure lattices were derived from the angular settings of 25 strong random reflections. Crystal decay was monitored by using 3 standard reflections. The structures were solved by the direct method and refined by full-matrix least squares with anisotropic temperature factors for all non-H atoms. The H- atoms were either obtained from the Difference Fourier Map or placed in calculated positions, with riding mode on their parent atoms and included in structure-factor calculations. Structure solution and refinement were performed with SHELXS-86^[5] and SHELXL-93^[6] programs on a Pentium 150MHz personal computer. Crystallographic data: (1) C18H17O2As, FW = 340. 24, monoclinic, $P2_1 / n$, a = 9.4604(7), b = 16.6347(7), c = 11.1544(11) Å, $\beta = 113.233$ (6)°, V = 1613.0(2)Å³, Z = 4, $D_{calcd} = 1.401$ g · cm⁻³, R = 0.0576, Rw = 0.0683 for 2921 observed reflections, $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.005 F^2$, $(\Delta / \sigma)_{max} = 0.083$, $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.72e \cdot Å^{-3}$, $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.48e \cdot \Delta \rho_{max}$ Å⁻³, S = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 18.253(4), b = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 18.253(4), b = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 18.253(4), b = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.77; (2) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsSn, FW = 707.66, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, $P2_1/n$ 18. 203(4), c = 18.917(4) Å, $\beta = 94.07(3)^{\circ}$, V = 6263(2) Å³, Z = 8, $D_{calcd} = 1.501$ g · cm⁻³, R = 1.501 g 0.0510, Rw = 0.0648 for 4061 observed reflections, $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.0015 F^2$, $(\Delta / \sigma)_{max} =$ 0. 016, $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.46 \text{ e} \cdot \text{\AA}^{-3}$, $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.31 \text{ e} \cdot \text{\AA}^{-3}$, S = 1.81; (3) C₃₆H₂₇Cl₄OAsSn, FW = 810.99, triclinic, $P\bar{1}$, a = 12.9787(11), b = 13.873(2), c = 20.183(3) Å, $\alpha = 98.051(10)$, $\beta = 99.176$ (9), $\gamma = 91.212(8)^{\circ}$, V = 3548(7) Å³, Z = 4, $D_{calcd} = 1.518g \cdot cm^{-3}$, R = 0.074, Rw = 0.1248 for 3986 observed reflections, $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.006 F^2$, $(\Delta / \sigma)_{max} = 0.032$, $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.83e \cdot \text{\AA}^{-3}$, $-0.76e \cdot \text{\AA}^{-3}$, S = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, FW = 796.16, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 1.12; (4) C₃₆H₃₀ClOAsPb, $P2_1/n$, $P2_1/n$ $\Delta \rho_{\min} =$ 18. 336(2), b = 18.316(2), c = 18.810(2) Å, $\beta = 94.250(10)^{\circ}$, V = 6299.8(11) Å³, Z = 8,

 $D_{\text{valued}} = 1.679 \text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$, R = 0.0808, Rw = 0.1532 for 3886 observed reflections, $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.006 F^2$, $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.078$, $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 1.083 \text{e} \cdot \text{Å}^{-3}$, $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.98 \text{e} \cdot \text{Å}^{-3}$, S = 1.07, respectively. The structures with their numbering scheme are given in Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4. Final refinement details are included in the experimental section while the selected bond distances and bond angles are given in Tables 2. Lists of fractional atomic coordinates hydrogen coordinates, anisotropic temperature parameters can be obtained from the author on request.

2 Results and Discussion

The reaction of triphenyltin, tri(*p*-chlorophenyl) tin and triphenyllead chlorides with triphenylarsine oxide resulted in the formation of pentacoordinated complexes in which the coordination bond was formed as a result of the M-O interaction. This interaction was evidenced from the shift to lower frequencies of the As-O infrared stretching band. Hence the ν (As-O) vibration, which appeared at 1084cm⁻¹ for the uncomplexed triphenylarsine oxide molecule, shifts to lower frequencies at 1066, 1064 and 1065 cm⁻¹ when the molecule is involved in coordination to the metal atom of triphenyltin, tri(p-chlorophenyl)tin and triphenyllead chlorides, respectively [Table 1]. Further evidence on the formation of 5-coordinate structures must come from the X-structural studies of these complexes. Table 1 As-O Vibration Frequencies for the Free Ligand and its Organotin and Organolead Complexes

| compound | $\nu(As-O)/cm^{-1}$ |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Ph3AsO (compound 1) | 1084 |
| $Ph_3SnCl(OAsPh_3)(compound 2)$ | 1066 |

(p-ClPh)₃SnCl(OAsPh₃) (compound 3)

The X-ray crystal structures of the free triphenylarsine oxide monohydrate ligand, (compound 1), was shown in Fig. 1 while its coordination compound with triphenyltin chloride (compound 2), tri(*p*-chlorophenyl) tin chloride (compound 3) and triphenyllead chloride (compound 4) are given in figures 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The structure of compound 1 revealed a distorted tetrahedral geometry at the arsenic atom, in which the three phenyl rings are not coplanar but make interplanar angles of 108.6° [for C13-As-C7], 108.8° [for



1064

Fig. 1 Molecular structure of triphenylarsine oxide monohydrate

C13-As-C1] and 106. 4° [for C1-As-C7] to avoid steric interaction. Unit cell packing diagram projecting down *a*-axis shows intermolecular H-bonding between the hydrogen from the H₂O molecule and oxygen atoms of two triphenylarsine oxide molecules (symmetry code for O_w -H2a···O': -1 + x, y, z and for O_w -H2b···O": -1 + x, 2 - y, 1 - z) at a value of 2. 813Å and 2. 805Å, respectively (Fig. 1a).

The crystal of triphenylarsine oxide coordination compound with triphenyltin chloride

(compound 2) is made up of two molecules of $[Ph_3SnCl(OA_sPh_3)]$. The triphenyltin chloride moiety is close to a regular trigonal bipyramid with the 3 phenyl rings in the equatorial positions while the Cl and O in axial positions. The triphenylarsine oxide is covalently bonded to tin through its oxygen atom, with a consequent small lengthening of the O-As bond [1.663(4) Å for molecule A and 1.672(4) Å for molecule B] compared to that in the free ligand of 1.653(3)Å. The geometry at arsenic atom is essentially tetrahedral, with the average O-As-C angle of 109. 8° and the average C-As-C angle of 109°. However, the C_{3y} trigonal symmetry is not present in the triphenylarsine oxide molecule because of the different inclination of the three aromatic rings with respect to the planes defined by oxygen, arsenic and carbon atoms bonded to As. The value of the Sn-O-As angle is 139. 4° indicates that bent coordination by triphenylarsine oxide occurs for compound 2.

The reaction of tri(*p*-chlorophenyl) tin chloride with triphenylarsine oxide yielded crystals (compound **3**) which is isostructure as compound **2**. There is, however, a shortening of Sn-Cl [2.516(3) Å] bond compared to that for compound **2** [2.613(2)Å]. This may be due to the electron withdrawing effect of the chlorine atom on the chlorophenyl ligand. On the other



Fig. 1a Unit cell packing diagram for triphenylsrsine oxide monohydrate projected down a-axis showing hydrogen bonding in the molecule



Fig. 2 Molecular plot of compound 2

hand, the electron withdrawing effect of the chlorophenyl ligand did not produce any significant changes to the Sn-O interaction [Sn-O 2. 249(6) Å compared to 2. 260(4) Å for compound 2].



 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} (15) \\ (15)$

Fig. 3 Molecular plot of compound 3

Fig. 4 Molecular structure of compound 4

There is no steric effect due to the presence of chlorine atom on the phenyl ring on the formation of the coordination compound as was indicated by the As-O-Sn angles and the three C-Sn-O angles which are not significantly enlarged (Table 2). Compound 4 was obtained from the reaction of triphenyllead chloride with triphenylarsine oxide. As anticipated, the structure of compound 4 is isostructural with those of compounds 2 and 3. The small lengthening of the covalently bonded Pb-O bond $[2.429(9)\text{\AA}]$ compared to Sn-O $[2.260(4)\text{\AA}]$ for compound 2] probably due to the electron density on the metal atom.

| Table 2 | Selected | Bond | Distances | (Å) | and | Angles | (°) |) |
|---------|----------|------|-----------|-----|-----|--------|-----|---|
|---------|----------|------|-----------|-----|-----|--------|-----|---|

| | compound 1 | compound 2 | compound 3 | compound 4* |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Sn-C(1) | _ | 2.143(6) | 2.144(10) | 2. 196(13) |
| | | 2.132(6) | 2.132(11) | 2.21(2) |
| Sn-C(7) | - | 2.141(6) | 2.125(11) | 2.172(13) |
| | | 2.123(6) | 2.124(10) | 2.184(14) |
| Sn-C(13) | _ | 2.150(6) | 2.131(10) | 2.191(13) |
| | | 2.139(6) | 2.151(10) | 2.206(14) |
| Sn-O | — | 2.260(4) | 2.249(6) | 2.429(9) |
| | | 2. 283(4) | 2.267(7) | 2.462(9) |
| Sn-Cl | _ | 2.613(2) | 2.516(3) | 2.660(4) |
| | | 2.634(2) | 2. 522(3) | 2.658(4) |
| As-O | 1.653(3) | 1.663(4) | 1.661(7) | 1.651(9) |
| | | 1.672(4) | 1.652(6) | 1.661(9) |
| C(1)-Sn-C(7) | — | 118.5(2) | 117.6(4) | 118.3(6) |
| | | 120.0(2) | 119.0(2) | 119.3(3) |
| C(7)-Sn-C(13) | | 121.7(2) | 122.2(4) | 118.3(6) |
| | | 117.2(2) | 118.4(4) | 120.8(5) |
| C(1)-Sn-C(13) | | 119.3(2) | 119. 4(4) | 123.9(5) |
| | | 122.5(2) | 121.7(4) | 119.2(6) |
| C(1)-Sn-O | — | 90.4(2) | 85.5(3) | 86.5(4) |
| | | 91.6(2) | 85.6(3) | 84.9(4) |
| C(7)-Sn-O | | 87.4(2) | 88.0(4) | 88.5(4) |
| | | 85.6(2) | 88.9(3) | 90.1(5) |
| C(13)-Sn-O | | 84.5(2) | 87.5(3) | 85.0(4) |
| | | 87.1(2) | 86.0(3) | 86.7(5) |
| As-O-Sn | — | 139.4(2) | 135. 9(4) | 140.3(6) |
| | | 139.3(2) | 134.7(4) | 139.2(6) |

* The bond distances and angles in Compound 4 refered to those involving the lead atom.

References

- [1] Kumar Das V. G., Keong Yap Chee, Smith P. J. J. Organometal. Chem., 1987, 327, 311.
- [2] Kumar Das V. G., Kitching W. J. Organometal. Chem., 1968, 13, 523; Aust. J. Chem., 1968, 21, 2401.
- [3] Ng S. W. Acta Cryst. C, 1992, C48, 1839.
- [4] Stern A., Becker E. I. J. Org. Chem., 1964, 29, 3221.
- [5] Sheldrick G. M. Acta Cryst. A., 1990, A46, 467.
- [6] Sheldrick G. M. SHELXL93, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Gottingen, Germany, 1993.