

异构多羧酸配体的两种 Zn(II)配位聚合物的水热合成和表征

杨诗吟 才 华* 张 琦 周玉萍 任晓晨 谢璐璐

(中国民航大学理学院,天津 300300)

摘要: 利用异构的半刚性多羧酸配体 3,5-二(3-羧基苯氧基)苯甲酸(3-H₃BCP), 3,5-二(4-羧基苯氧基)苯甲酸(4-H₃BCP)和刚性双三唑配体 4-(4-(4*H*-1,2,4-三唑-4-基)苯基)-4*H*-1,2,4-三唑(L)在相似的水热条件下与金属 Zn(II)离子反应,制得混合配体的配位聚合物 {[Zn(3-HBCP)(L)]·0.5H₂O}_n (**1**)和[Zn(4-HBCP)(L)_{0.5}]_n (**2**)。配合物 **1** 为含有双核簇的(4,4)连接的二维网络结构,而配合物 **2** 为三重互穿的 2D+2D→2D 平行网络结构。通过 X 射线单晶衍射、元素分析、红外光谱、紫外光谱以及荧光光谱其进行了表征。

关键词: 异构体; 半刚性多羧酸; 发光性能

中图分类号: O614.24[†]

文献标识码: A

文章编号: 1001-4861(2018)01-0179-08

DOI: 10.11862/CJIC.2018.007

Solvothermal Synthesis and Characterization of Two Zn(II) Coordination Polymers with Isomeric Multi-carboxylate Ligands

YANG Shi-Ying CAI Hua* ZHANG Qi ZHOU Yu-Ping REN Xiao-Chen XIE Lu-Lu

(College of Science, Civil Aviation University of China, Tianjin 300300, China)

Abstract: Two isomeric semi-rigid multi-carboxylate ligands 3,5-bi(3-carboxyphenoxy) benzoic acid (3-H₃BCP), 3,5-bi(4-carboxyphenoxy)benzoic acid (4-H₃BCP) and one rigid bridging bis-triazole 4-(4-(4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl) phenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole (L) have been employed to react with Zn(II) salts under similar solvothermal reactions. Two novel zinc(II) mixed-ligand coordination polymers, namely, {[Zn(3-HBCP)(L)]·0.5H₂O}_n (**1**) and [Zn(4-HBCP)(L)_{0.5}]_n (**2**) have been isolated. Complex **1** displays a (4,4)-connected 2D cluster-based network while **2** displays an usual 3D fold 2D+2D→2D parallel entangled network. Solid-state luminescent properties and thermal analyses of **1~2** also have been determined indicating strong fluorescent emissions and good thermal stabilities. Different coordination modes of two semi-rigid multi-carboxylate ligands and L also have been briefly discussed, which also reveal great potential in the construction of these novel mixed-ligand luminescent frameworks with diverse structural motifs and unique functional properties. CCDC: 1542779, **1**; 1542780, **2**.

Keywords: isomer; semi-rigid multi-carboxylate; photoluminescent properties

0 Introduction

As a class of novel solid materials, functional coordination polymers (CPs) have received great attention because of their interesting structures as well as

potential applications in storage, gas separation, magnetism catalysis, microelectronics sensing, and optical properties^[1-6]. Recently, semi-rigid multi-carboxylate ligands with two or more aromatic rings separated by O atoms have been employed to build

收稿日期: 2017-06-12。收修改稿日期: 2017-09-19。

中央高校专项研究基金(No.3122017071)、本科生创新创业培训计划(No.20161005959)、天津市分子结构与功能材料重点实验室开放基金(天津师范大学)和国家自然科学基金青年基金(No.21501196)资助项目。

*通信联系人。E-mail: caihua-1109@163.com

interesting coordination frameworks, especially some flexible networks with breathing^[7-11]. Besides, when the auxiliary ligands were introduced to build these networks, the final packing architectures have greater tenability^[12-15]. Recent study shows that auxiliary bridging triazole linkers holding different lengths and flexibilities have great effects on the final packing supramolecular and topology as well as coordination modes and molecular conformations of aromatic multi-carboxylate acids^[16-17]. As we all known, the mixed ligand strategy have added the scope of the functional CPs, giving diverse polymeric structures with interesting structures and unusual properties. Therefore, it is worth trying to prepare novel functional metal-organic hybrid complexes by using such kind of isomeric semi-rigid multi-carboxylate and auxiliary bridging triazole linkers. The aforementioned points inspired us to assembly novel coordination frameworks with semi-rigid 3,5-bi(3-carboxyphenoxy) benzoic acid (3-H₃BCP), 3,5-bi(4-carboxyphenoxy)benzoic acid (4-H₃BCP) and auxiliary bridging triazole linkers (L). Herein, under similar solvothermal conditions, two novel mixed-ligand Zn(II) coordination polymers, namely, {[Zn(3-HBCP)(L)]·0.5H₂O}_n (**1**) and [Zn(4-HBCP)(L)_{0.5}]_n (**2**) have been isolated. Complexes **1** and **2** are prepared and have been investigated by elemental analysis, FT-IR thermal analysis and fluorescence characterization.

1 Experimental

With the exception of the ligand L, which was prepared according to the reported method^[18], all reagents and solvents for synthesis and analysis were commercially available and used as received. Fourier transform (FT) IR spectra (KBr pellets) were taken on an AVATAR-330 (Nicolet) spectrometer. Microanalyses of C, H, and N were carried out on a CE-440 (Leemanlabs) analyzer. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Dupont thermal analyzer from room temperature to 600 °C N₂ atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹. Solid-state UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra was performed at room temperature using Shimadzu UV-3600 double monochromator spectrophotometer, BaSO₄ was used as a 100%

reflectance standard for all material. Fluorescence spectra of the polycrystalline powder samples were performed on a HITACHI spectrofluorimeter (F7000) equipped with a xenon lamp and quartz carrier at room temperature.

1.1 Synthesis of {[Zn(3-HBCP)(L)]·0.5H₂O}_n (**1**)

A mixture containing Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O (22 mg, 0.10 mmol), L (21.2 mg, 0.10 mmol), 3-H₃BCP (39.4 mg, 0.10 mmol) and H₂O (10 mL) was sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel (20 mL), which was heated at 160 °C for 3 days and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of 5 °C·h⁻¹. Colorless block crystals of **1** was obtained with 47% yield (18.4 mg, based on 3-H₃BCP). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₆H₁₇ZnN₃O_{8.50} (%): C, 55.08; H, 3.21; N, 6.06. Found (%): C, 55.23; H, 3.26; N, 6.03. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3 637m, 3 420b, 3 211m, 1 624s, 1 504m, 1 434s, 1 328s, 1 248m, 1 154m, 1 095w, 1 077m, 1 053w, 1 016m, 960m, 932 w, 904w, 778s, 744m, 690m, 640m.

1.2 Synthesis of [Zn(4-HBCP)(L)_{0.5}]_n (**2**)

The same synthetic method as that for **1** was used except that 3-H₃BCP was replaced by 4-H₃BCP (39.4 mg, 0.10 mmol). Colorless block crystals of **2** were obtained with 45% yield (17.6 mg, based on 4-H₃BCP). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₆H₁₆ZnN₃O₈(%): C, 55.39; H, 2.86; N, 7.45. Found(%): C, 55.45; H, 2.73; N, 7.41. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3 216m, 1 642m, 1 576m, 1 411s, 1 398 s, 1 319m, 1 259w, 1 211m, 1 159w, 1 121w, 1 085w, 999m, 870m, 842m, 809m, 797m, 778m, 708w, 643w, 543w, 498w.

1.3 X-ray crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data for complexes **1** (Crystal size: 0.36 mm×0.28 mm×0.28 mm) and **2** (Crystal size: 0.22 mm×0.16 mm×0.10 mm) were collected on a Bruker Apex II CCD diffractometer at 296(2) K with Mo K α radiation (λ =0.071 073 nm). There was no evidence of crystal decay during data collection. In general, a semi-empirical absorption correction (SADABS) was applied and the program SAINT was used for integration of the diffraction profiles^[19]. The structures were solved by direct methods using the SHELXS program of the SHELXTL package and refined with SHELXL^[20]. The final refinement was

performed by full-matrix least-squares methods on F^2 with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-H atoms. Hydrogen atoms attached to carbon were generated geometrically and those of methanol or water were first located in difference Fourier syntheses and then treated as riding. Isotropic displacement

parameters of H were derived from their parent atoms. A summary of the crystallographic data are shown in Table 1. Selected bond parameters are listed in Table 2, and hydrogen bonds are listed in Table 3.

CCDC: 1542779, **1**; 1542780, **2**.

Table 1 Crystallographic data and structural refinement summary for complexes 1~2

Complex	1	2
Chemical formula	C ₂₆ H ₁₇ ZnN ₃ O _{8.5}	C ₂₆ H ₁₆ ZnN ₃ O ₈
Formula weight	572.80	563.79
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$	$P2_1/c$
a / nm	0.966 20(8)	1.150 14(8)
b / nm	1.054 08(9)	1.890 34(13)
c / nm	1.228 59(11)	1.155 20(8)
α / (°)	73.150 0(10)	
β / (°)	86.818 0(10)	110.750 0(10)
γ / (°)	75.599 0(10)	
V / nm ³	1.159 73(17)	2.348 7(3)
Z	2	4
μ / mm ⁻¹	1.122	1.105
Reflection measured	6 615	14 604
Independent reflection	4 478	4 619
R_{int}	0.017 3	0.038 4
Final R_1 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	0.034 3	0.035 4
Final wR_2 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	0.074 8	0.074 8
Final R_1 (all data)	0.042 4	0.042 4
Final wR_2 (all data)	0.079 0	0.079 0
Goodness of fit on F^2	1.030	1.033
$(\Delta\rho)_{\text{max}}$ ($\Delta\rho)_{\text{min}}$ / (e · nm ⁻³)	286, -361	334, -266

Table 2 Selected bond distances (nm) and angles (°) for 1~2

Complex 1					
Zn(1)-O(1)	0.191 97(17)	Zn(1)-O(2) ⁱ	0.193 11(18)	Zn(1)-O(4) ⁱⁱ	0.193 50(17)
Zn(1)-N(1)	0.202 4(2)				
O(1)-Zn(1)-O(2) ⁱ	121.96(9)	O(1)-Zn(1)-O(4) ⁱⁱ	105.55(8)	O(2) ⁱ -Zn(1)-O(4) ⁱⁱ	109.23(8)
O(1)-Zn(1)-N(1)	103.33(8)	O(2) ⁱ -Zn(1)-N(1)	103.28(9)	O(4) ⁱⁱ -Zn(1)-N(1)	113.63(8)
Complex 2					
Zn(1)-O(8) ⁱⁱⁱ	0.197 33(17)	Zn(1)-N(1)	0.199 8(2)	Zn(1)-O(4) ^{iv}	0.200 66(17)
Zn(1)-O(1)	0.202 70(17)	Zn(1)-O(2)	0.236 02(19)		
O(8) ⁱⁱⁱ -Zn(1)-N(1)	110.06(8)	O(8) ⁱⁱⁱ -Zn(1)-O(4) ^{iv}	104.46(8)	N(1)-Zn(1)-O(4) ^{iv}	101.82(8)
O(8) ⁱⁱⁱ -Zn(1)-O(1)	129.73(8)	N(1)-Zn(1)-O(1)	110.74(8)	O(4) ^{iv} -Zn(1)-O(1)	94.64(7)
O(8) ⁱⁱⁱ -Zn(1)-O(2)	88.46(7)	N(1)-Zn(1)-O(2)	96.32(8)	O(4) ^{iv} -Zn(1)-O(2)	152.31(7)
O(1)-Zn(1)-O(2)	59.12(6)				

Symmetry codes: ⁱ $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; ⁱⁱ $-x+1, -y+2, -z+1$ for **1**; ⁱⁱⁱ $-x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2$; ^{iv} $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2$ for **2**.

Table 3 Hydrogen bond geometries in the crystal structure of 1~2

D-H...A	<i>d</i> (D-H) / nm	<i>d</i> (H...A) / nm	<i>d</i> (D...A) / nm	∠D-H...A / (°)
Complex 1				
O(8)-H(8)···O(7) ⁱ	0.082	0.182	0.263 3(3)	171
Complex 2				
O(7)-H(7)···O(3) ⁱⁱ	0.082	0.167	0.245 1(3)	159

Symmetry codes: ⁱ $-x, -y+3, -z+3$ for **1**; ⁱⁱ $x, y+1, z$ for **2**.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Description of the structure

Single X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that complex **1** belongs to space group $P\bar{1}$ and possesses a 2D cluster-based Zn(II) coordination framework. As shown in Fig.1a, the coordination sphere of Zn(1), residing in a tetrahedral coordination environment, is four-coordinated by three oxygen atoms (O1, O2ⁱ and O4ⁱⁱ) of three separated 3-HBCP ligands, one nitrogen atom (N1) of L forming ZnN₃O donor set. Zn-O bond lengths are in the range of 0.191 97(17)~0.193 50(17) nm and Zn-N bond distances are 0.202 4(2) nm. As shown in Scheme 1a, 3-HBCP ligands adopt tridentate

η^2, η^1 -bridging coordination mode. Two neighboring Zn(II) centres are linked by their two carboxyl groups of 3-HBCP ligands to construct dinuclear $[\text{Zn}(\text{CO}_2)]_2$ clusters. Such a unit of $[\text{Zn}(\text{CO}_2)]_2$ cluster can be viewed as a 4-connecting node to link four other equivalent ones through one pairs of L ligands and two pairs of 3-HBCP ligands, forming a 2D (4,4) layer structure extending along *ab* plane (Fig.1b). Each grid within this layer has dimensions of 1.054 nm×1.605 nm. One mono-deprotonated 3-HBCP anion is also involved in hydrogen bonding (O8-H8···O7ⁱ, Symmetry codes: ⁱ $-x, 3-y, 3-z$) with another mono-deprotonated 3-HBCP anion. These hydrogen bonds connect these neighboring 2D layers into a 3D




Fig.1 Crystal structure of **1**: (a) Coordination environment of Zn(II) in **1** showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids; (b) Perspective view of the 2D coordination network; (c) 3D supramolecular framework of complex **1** formed through hydrogen-bonding

supramolecular network (Fig.1c). Therefore complex **1** features a 3D supramolecular framework arising from 2D coordination sheets interlinked through interlayer hydrogen bonds.

Structure analysis reveals complex **2** crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group $P2_1/c$. The asymmetric unit of **2** consists of one Zn(II) ion, one 4-HBCP²⁻ ligand, and a half of L ligand (Fig.2a). As shown in Fig.2a, each Zn(II) center is penta-coordinated by four oxygen atoms from three different 4-HBCP²⁻ linkers, and one nitrogen atom from L ligand and resides in a distorted square-pyramidal coordination environment ($\tau=0.14$)^[21]. The square base of Zn(1) is nonplanar and occupied by O(1), O(2), O(4) and O(5) from three 4-H₃BCP ligands. The axial positions of Zn(1) are coordinated by N(1) from one L

ligand. Zn-O bond lengths are in the range of 0.197 3(2) ~0.236 0(2) nm and Zn-N bond distances are 0.199 8(2) nm. The 4-H₃BCP ligand is partially deprotonated and twisted with the dihedral angle between three phenyl rings are 87.9(1)°, 73.9(1)°, and 41.0(9)°, respectively. Three carboxyl groups coordinated with three Zn(II) ions with $\mu_1-\eta^1:\eta^0$ and $\mu_1-\eta^1:\eta^1$ coordination modes (Scheme 1b), leaving a 1D [Zn(4-HBCP)]_n ladder chain with the Zn...Zn distance is 1.078 4(1) nm. Then L ligand linked the 1D ladder chains, forming an interestingly 2D sheet with the 60-membered large macrocycle (1.353 8(2) nm×1.887(3) nm) (Fig.2b).

Each sheet can be defined as a (3,4)-connected (4².6³.8)(4².6) 2D layer with the Zn(II) ion and 4-HBCP²⁻ ligand regarded as four and three nodes, respectively. The large macrocycle within each sheet and the 1D




Fig.2 Crystal structure of **2**: (a) Coordination environment of Zn(II) in **2** showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids; (b) Perspective view of the 2D layer; (c) Perspective view of the 2D+2D→2D parallel entangled networks; (d) 3D supramolecular framework of complex **2** formed through hydrogen-bonding and $\pi\cdots\pi$ stacking interactions


$[\text{Zn}(\text{4-HBCP})]_n$ ladder chain make the neighboring layers possible to interpenetrate with each other. Each sheet simultaneously penetrated with two another adjacent ones, finally formed a rarely reported 3-fold $2\text{D} \rightarrow 2\text{D}$ parallel entangled network (Fig.2c).

As illustrated in Fig.2d, there also exist $\text{O}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$ hydrogen bonds ($\text{O7}-\text{H7} \cdots \text{O3}^i$, Symmetry codes: $^i x, 1+y, z$) and $\pi \cdots \pi$ stacking between phenyl ring (C20, C21, C22, C23, C24, C25; $x=0.833\ 12\ (12)$, $y=0.612\ 95(6)$, $z=0.258\ 62(12)$) and triazol ring (N1, N2, C2, N3, C1; $x=0.488\ 75(10)$, $y=0.375\ 11(5)$, $z=0.718\ 76(9)$) (center-to-center distances, $0.380\ 8(2)\ \text{nm}$; dihedral angle, 8.61°) interactions, which further stabilize this 2D framework. The 2D arrays are interdigitated with the presence of interlayer $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions between the adjacent 4-H₃BCP molecules and form a 3D supramolecular structure. The center-to-center distances of phenyl (C13, C14, C15, C16, C17, C18;

$x=0.861\ 45(11)$, $y=0.104\ 25(6)$, $z=0.294\ 78(12)$)-phenyl (C20, C21, C22, C23, C24, C25; $x=0.833\ 12(12)$, $y=0.612\ 95(6)$, $z=0.258\ 62(12)$) rings are $0.377\ 9(2)\ \text{nm}$ and dihedral angles are 8.48° .

2.2 Role of metal ion and substituent of ligand on structural assembly

It is noteworthy that dramatic structural differences are observed in the coordination polymers **1**~**2**, based on the selection of semi-rigid tricarboxylate ligands with two different coordination modes as building blocks. These tectons show different binding fashions as illustrated in Scheme 1. For **1**, the monodentate and bidentate bridging anions are observed in **1**, where the μ_3 -3-HBCP (Scheme 1a) anions afford the 1D chain array. However, the chelating and monodentate anions are found in **2**, and the Zn (II) metal centers are extended by μ_3 -4-HBCP (Scheme 1b) linkers to result in a 1D ladder chains.



Scheme 1 Different coordination modes for two isomeric multi-carboxylate 3-H₃BCP and 4-H₃BCP

2.3 FT-IR, TGA and fluorescence properties studies

In the FT-IR spectra of the complexes **1**~**2**, there are broad, medium bands around $3\ 000\sim 3\ 400\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ ($3\ 637, 3\ 420, 3\ 211\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ for **1** and $3\ 216\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ for **2**), showing the existence of O-H and coordinated water molecules in the coordination framework. For **1** and **2**, the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of carboxylate groups are observed in the ranges of $1\ 504\sim 1\ 642\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ and $1\ 315\sim 1\ 434\ \text{cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The absence of strong absorption bands around $1\ 700\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ indicates complete deprotonation of the carboxylic groups in **1** and **2**^[22]. All the FT-IR results are in agreement with the X-ray crystal result.

There was no evidence of crystal decay during X-ray data collection for **1** and **2**, which are stable at ambient conditions. Thus, thermogravimetric analysis

(TGA) experiments of these Zn (II) coordination polymers have been performed to explore their thermal stabilities (Fig.3). For **1**, the host framework remains stable until the decomposition occurs at $256\ ^\circ\text{C}$ with a slow weight loss ending at $403\ ^\circ\text{C}$, then




Fig.3 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves of complexes **1**~**2**

following with a sharp weight loss not ending until 600 °C. With regard to **2**, the complex is thermally stable upon heating to 369 °C followed by a sharp weight loss ending at 401 °C, then following with a slow weight loss not ending until 600 °C.

Inorganic-organic hybrid coordination polymers have been investigated for fluorescence properties and for potential applications as luminescent materials, such as light-emitting diodes (LEDs). Owing to the ability of affecting the emission wavelength and strength of organic materials, syntheses of inorganic-organic coordination polymers by the judicious choice of conjugated organic spacers and transition metal centers can be an efficient method for obtaining new types of electroluminescent materials, especially for d^{10} or $d^{10}-d^{10}$ systems^[23]. In the present work, we have explored the luminescent properties of free ligands L, 3-H₃BCP and 4-H₃BCP and organic/inorganic coordination polymers **1~2** based on the ligands in the solid state.

As is shown in Fig.4, at ambient temperature, the




Fig.4 Solid-state fluorescent emissions of complexes **1** (a) and **2** (b) at room temperature

free ligands L, 3-H₃BCP and 4-H₃BCP in the solid state are luminescent and show the broad emission maximum at 318, 354 and 352 nm, respectively ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}=279$ nm). For these ligands the chromophores are the aromatic rings and the observed emission is due to the $\pi-\pi^*$ transition. Solid-state fluorescence spectra of **1~2** at room temperature have been determined. In comparison with those of free ligand L and 3-H₃BCP, **1** shows strong emission bands centered at 334 nm ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}=279$ nm), which should be ascribed to ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LMCT) bands. Complex **2** shows strong emission bands centered at 353 nm ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}=279$ nm), which is somewhat similar with that of 4-H₃BCP, which should be ascribed to intraligand fluorescent emissions based on 4-H₃BCP.

2.4 UV-Vis absorption spectra

The UV-Vis absorption spectra of complexes **1~2** show intense wide absorption peaks in the range of 220~350 nm for **1** and **2**, which can be assigned as ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LMCT) transitions^[24-25]. While lower energy bands (270~300 nm for **1**, 250~300 nm for **2**) are assigned as the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ electron transition between ligands (Fig.5).




Fig.5 UV-Vis absorption spectra of complexes **1~2**

3 Conclusions

In summary, one rigid bis (triazole) ligand 4-(4-(4H-1, 2, 4-triazol-4-yl)phenyl)-4H-1, 2, 4-triazole (L) and two isomeric semi-rigid 3,5-bi(3-carboxyphenoxy)benzoic acid (3-H₃BCP), 3,5-bi(4-carboxyphenoxy)benzoic acid (4-H₃BCP) have been employed to prepare two distinct mixed-ligand luminescent coordination polymers $\{[\text{Zn}(3\text{-HBCP})(\text{L})] \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}\}_n$ (**1**) and $[\text{Zn}(4\text{-HBCP})(\text{L})]_n$ (**2**).

HBCP(L)_{0.5}]_n (**2**). Both **1** and **2** exhibits network topology as well as high thermal stabilities and strong fluorescent emissions. This work clearly demonstrates that 4-(4-(4*H*-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl)phenyl)-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole ligand and various isomeric semi-rigid aromatic poly-carboxylate ligands can be applied as versatile building blocks to construct these coordination polymers with interesting network structures and unique functional properties.

References:

- [1] Dau P V, Cohen S M. *Chem. Commun.*, **2013**,**49**:6128-6130
- [2] Férey G, Serre C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2009**,**38**:1380-1399
- [3] Fei H H, Cahill J F, Prather K A, et al. *Inorg. Chem.*, **2013**, **52**:4011-4016
- [4] Sun D, Yuan S, Wang H, et al. *Chem. Commun.*, **2013**,**49**: 6152-6154
- [5] Wang K, Zeng S Y, Wang H L, et al. *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, **2014**,**1**:167-171
- [6] Zou J Y, Shi W, Gao H L, et al. *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, **2014**, **1**:242-248
- [7] Chen M, Zhao H, Liu C S, et al. *Chem. Commun.*, **2015**,**51**: 6014-6017
- [8] Cui J H, Yang Q X, Li Y Z, et al. *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **2013**, **13**:1694-1702
- [9] Wang Z W, Chen M, Liu C S, et al. *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2015**,**21**: 17215-17219
- [10] Chaudhari A K, Nagarkar S S, Joarder B, et al. *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **2013**,**13**:3716-3721
- [11] Fan L M, Fan W L, Song W K, et al. *Dalton Trans.*, **2014**, **43**:15979-15989
- [12] Guo X M, Guo H D, Zou H Y, et al. *CrystEngComm*, **2013**, **15**:9112-9120
- [13] Cui J H, Li Y Z, Guo Z J, et al. *Chem. Commun.*, **2013**,**49**: 555-557
- [14] Cao L H, Li H Y, Zang S Q, et al. *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **2012**, **12**:4299-4301
- [15] Hong D H, Suh M P. *Chem. Commun.*, **2012**,**48**:9168-9170
- [16] Peng Y F, Li K, Zhao S, et al. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A*, **2015**,**147**:20-25
- [17] Ren C, Liu P, Wang Y Y, et al. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **2010**: 5545-5555
- [18] Bozena M B, Ewa J W, Ewa T W. *Acta Polym. Pharm.*, **2000**, **57**:199-204
- [19] Bruker AXS. *SAINT Software Reference Manual*, Madison, WI, **1998**.
- [20] Sheldrick G M. *SHELXTL NT Ver. 5.1, Program for Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures*, University of Göttingen, Germany, **1997**.
- [21] Addison A W, Rao T N, Reedijk J, et al. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, **1984**:1349-1356
- [22] Niu D, Yang J, Guo J, et al. *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **2012**,**12**: 2397-2410
- [23] WANG Xin-Ping(王新萍), LI Ying-Ying(李莹莹), LIU Yong (刘勇), et al. *Chinese J. Inorg. Chem.*(无机化学学报), **2017**, **33**(5):823-829
- [24] CHEN Man-Sheng(陈满生), HUANG Xiu-Yu(黄秀玉), CHEN Xiao-Li(陈小利), et al. *Chinese J. Inorg. Chem.*(无机化学学报), **2017**,**33**(6):1090-1096
- [25] Jeremić D A, Kaluđerović G N, Gómez-Ruiz S, et al. *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **2010**,**10**:559-563