胍基锆酰胺配合物与四氯化碳的反应生成氯化锆胍基衍生物

Bhavna Sharma 薛子陵* (田纳西大学化学系,诺克斯维尔市 37996,美国)

摘要:四氯化碳与 Zr(NMe₂)₂[PrNC(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (1)反应先生成中间体 ZrCl(NMe₂)₂[PrNC(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (2),然后生成 ZrCl₂[PrNC (NMe₂)NPr]₂ (3)。该反应可能是自由基反应。另外,配合物 2 可由 ZrCl(NMe₂)₃ 与二异丙基碳二亚胺 Pr-N=C=N-Pr 反应制备。对配合物 2 进行了核磁共振(NMR)和元素分析。

关键词: 锆; 氯; 酰胺配合物

中图分类号: 0641.4; 0614.41*2 文献标识码: A 文章编号: 1001-4861(2020)06-1157-06

DOI: 10.11862/CJIC.2020.129

Formation of Zirconium Chloride Guanidinate Complexes from the Reaction of their Amide Analog with CCl₄

Bhavna Sharma XUE Zi-Ling*

(Department of Chemistry, the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA)

Abstract: Reaction of CCl₄ with zirconium amide guanidinate Zr(NMe₂)₂[PrNC(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (1) has been found to give ZrCl(NMe₂)[PrNC(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (2) as an intermediate and later ZrCl₂[PrNC(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (3). The reaction is likely radical in nature. Complex 2 has been independently prepared from the reaction of ZrCl(NMe₂)₃ with diisopropylcarbodiimide, Pr-N=C=N-Pr, and characterized by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and elemental analysis.

Keywords: zirconium; chlorine; amide complex

0 Introduction

Earlier transition metal complexes with alkyl, amide, and hydride ligands^[1-5] often contain polar bonds between metal atoms and anionic ligands. These ligands usually behave as nucleophiles similar to their main group counter parts such as LiR, RMgX (X=halide), LiNR₂ and NaBH₄. Early transition metal amidinate and guanidinate complexes have attracted much research interest recently^[6-18]. In certain reactions, amidinate and guanidinate ligands act as spectators. We reported earlier the reaction of guanidinate amide

 $Zr(NMe_2)_2[^3PrNC(NMe_2)N^3Pr]_2$ (1) with O_2 (Scheme 1)^[19], as part of the studies of O_2 reactions with d^0 transition metal complexes [20-37]. The d^0 metal centers in the complexes formally have no d electrons. Their reactions with the oxidant O_2 thus typically involve the ligand oxidation. This is in contrast to the reactions of d^n complexes, in which the metal centers are often oxidized. From the chemistry shown in Scheme 1 [19], it appears to be a good candidate to test the radical trapping [38-40]. Because of $CH_2(NMe_2)_2$ formed as a side product in this reaction in Scheme 1 [19], the reaction is believed to follow a radical mechanism. In addition,

收稿日期:2020-02-28。收修改稿日期:2020-04-05。

美国国家科学基金(No.CHE-1362548, CHE-1900296)资助。

^{*}通信联系人。E-mail:xue@utk.edu

Scheme 1 Reaction of 1 and its Hf analog with O₂^[19]

the rate of the reaction was enhanced several folds with the addition of the radical initiator 2,2′-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN)^[19].

CBrCl₃ and CCl₄ have been used extensively for radical trapping^[38-40]. For example, cyclopropyl radical is known to behave as a rapidly inverting σ radical of high reactivity. Experiments have been performed using radical trapping to determine the extent to which a variety of substituted cyclopropyl radicals are capable of maintaining their original configuration^[38]. BrCCl₃ was chosen as a trap for the cyclopropyl radical (Eq.(1)).

$$c-C_3H_5 \cdot +BrCCl_3 \rightarrow c-C_3H_5Br + \cdot CCl_3$$
 (1)

Radical trapping has also been used to probe organometallic reactions^[39]. For example, irradiation of metal-metal bonded complexes leads to the formation of radicals that may be captured by chlorine atom abstraction from CCl_4 (Eq.(2), $Cp=C_5H_5$)^[39].

$$Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6+2CCl_4 \rightarrow 2CpMo(CO)_3Cl+2 \cdot CCl_3$$
 (2)

Prior to the use of CCl₄ as a radical trap to probe the reaction of Zr(NMe₂)₂[PrNC(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (1) with O₂, it is, however, necessary to investigate whether 1 would react with CCl₄ itself in the absence of O₂. Indeed we have found that Zr(NMe₂)₂[PrNC(NMe₂) NPr]₂ (1) does react with CCl₄, yielding sequentially ZrCl (NMe₂)[PrNC(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (2) and ZrCl₂[PrNC

(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (3). Complex **2** is a new compound. In addition to observing **2** from the reaction between CCl₄ and **1**, **2** has been prepared by a different route-direct insertion of Pr-N=C=N-Pr into Zr-NMe₂ bonds in ZrCl (NMe₂)₃. Our studies of the reaction between CCl₄ and **1**, preparation of **2**, and its characterization are reported.

1 Experimental

All manipulations were performed under a dry nitrogen atmosphere with the use of either a drybox or standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents such as pentane, tetrahydrofuran (THF), hexanes were dried over potassium/benzophenone, distilled, and stored under nitrogen. Benzene-d₆ was dried over activated molecular sieves and stored under nitrogen. CCl₄ was also dried over activated molecular sieves and stored under nitrogen. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 500 MHz Fourier transform spectrometer unless otherwise noted, and were referenced to solvents. Elemental analyses were conducted via Complete Analysis Laboratories, Inc., Parsippany, NJ.

1.1 Reaction of 1 with CCl₄

In a Young's NMR tube, 1 (15 mg, 0.029 mmol) was dissolved in benzene-d₆. Excess CCl₄ was then added to this Young's tube. Immediately after the

addition of CCl₄, the intensities of the peaks corresponding to 1 started decreasing. The progress of the reaction was followed with ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H NMR peaks of 2 first started to appear after ~2 h. This process eventually led to the formation of 3.

1.2 Synthesis of ZrCl(NMe₂)['PrNC(NMe₂)N'Pr]₂ (2) from the reaction of ZrCl(NMe₂)₃ with 'Pr-N=C=N-'Pr

 $\rm ZrCl_4$ (1.620 g, 6.952 mmol) in THF was added LiNMe₂ (1.062 g, 20.84 mmol) in THF. After stirring overnight, the solution was filtrated to remove LiCl, and volatiles were removed in vacuo to give crude $\rm ZrCl(NMe_2)_3$ (0.963 g, 3.72 mmol, Yield: 59.4%). This crude product was then re-dissolved in hexanes and cooled to give pure $\rm ZrCl(NMe_2)_3$ as crystals. These crystals were then separated from mother liquor solution and washed with cooled hexanes.

ZrCl (NMe₂)₃ (282.6 mg, 1.092 mmol) was then reacted with Pr-N=C=N-Pr (275.7 mg, 2.185 mmol) in pentane overnight. The volatiles were then removed in vacuo to give the crude product of **2** as an off-white solid (isolated solid: 198 mg, 0.388 mmol, Yield: 70.1%). Repeated attempts to grow the crystals of **2** in different solvents did not yield crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. ¹H NMR (benzene-d₆, 499.7 MHz, 25 °C): δ 3.59 (m, 4H, CHMe₂), 3.39 (s, 6H, Zr-NMe₂), 2.43 (s, 12H, C-NMe₂), 1.37 (d, 12H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ =6.43 Hz, CHMe₂), 1.32 (d, 12H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ =6.42 Hz, CHMe₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (benzene-d₆, 125 MHz, 25 °C): δ

172.01 (C-NMe₂), 47.58 (CHMe₂), 47.12 (Zr-NMe₂), 39.75 (C-NMe₂), 25.18 (CHMe₂), 24.99 (CHMe₂). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₀H₄₆ClN₇Zr (%): C, 46.98; H, 9.07; N, 19.18. Found(%): C, 46.91; H, 9.13; N, 19.11.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Reaction of Zr(NMe₂)₂[PrNC(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (1) with CCl₄

The reaction between 1 and CCl₄ is given in Scheme 2. ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** itself is given in Fig.S1 (Supporting information) for comparison. In a Young's tube, 1 in benzene-d₆ was added CCl₄ and the progress of the reaction was followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Right after the addition of CCl₄, new NMR peaks were observed, which were assigned to ZrCl (NMe₂) [PrNC (NMe₂)NPr]₂ (2), a mono-chloride derivative of 1 (Scheme 2, Fig.S2). With the passage of time, ¹H NMR peaks of **1** decreased in intensity. After 2~3 d at room temperature, ¹H NMR peaks corresponding to ZrCl₂[iPrNC (NMe₂)NiPr]₂ (3), a dichloride derivative of 1, started to appear as well (Scheme 2). After ca. one week, ¹H NMR spectrum of the solution showed only 3 (Fig.S3). Complex 3 has been reported by Arnold, Bergman and coworkers [41], and it was prepared by direct insertion of Pr-N=C=N-¹Pr into the Zr-NMe₂ bonds in (Me₂N)₂ZrCl₂ (THF)₂. Comparison of its ¹H (Fig.S3) and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra with those reported confirmed the formation of 3 in the reaction in Scheme 2.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Pr} \\ \text{NMe}_2 \\ \text{Me}_2 \text{N} \\ \text{Pr} \\ \text{NMe}_2 \\$$

Scheme 2 Reaction of 1 with CCl₄, yielding amide chlorides 2 and 3

2.2 Synthesis of 2 via the reaction of ZrCl(NMe₂)₃ with 'Pr-N=C=N-'Pr and characterization of 2

The mono-chloride $ZrCl(NMe_2)[PrNC(NMe_2)N^iPr]_2$ (2) is a new compound. In the reaction between $Zr(NMe_2)_2[PrNC(NMe_2)N^iPr]_2$ (1) with CCl_4 , it is an intermediate in the formation of the di-chloride **3** (Scheme 2) and it was difficult to control the reaction to just form **2**. Thus, **2** from this reaction was not isolated. Instead, **2** was directly prepared through the insertion of 'Pr-N=C=N-'Pr into two Zr-NMe₂ bonds in

ZrCl(NMe₂)₃. ZrCl(NMe₂)₃, as solvent-free [Cl(Me₂N)₂Zr (μ -NMe₂)]₂, has been prepared by the reaction of ZrCl₄ with LiNMe₂ in ether^[42]. Its X-ray structure showed a dimer with two NMe₂ bridges. Our group has earlier prepared ZrCl(NMe₂)₃ as a THF adduct, (Me₂N)₃Zr(μ -Cl)₂(μ -NMe₂)Zr(NMe₂)₂(THF), from either the reaction between ZrCl₄ and LiNMe₂ ($n_{\rm ZrCl_4}$: $n_{\rm LiNMe_2}$ =1:3) in THF or Zr(NMe₂)₄ and (Me₂N)₂ZrCl₂(THF)₂ ($n_{\rm Zr(NMe_2)_4}$: $n_{\rm (Me_2,N)_2ZrCl_4(THF)_2}$ =1:1) in THF^[43]. The THF adduct is a dimer bridged by one chloride and one amide ligand.

In the current work, ZrCl(NMe₂)₃ was synthesized by the direct reaction of 3 equiv of LiNMe₂ with ZrCl₄ $(n_{\text{ZrCl}_4} : n_{\text{LiNMe}_2} = 1 : 3)$ in THF. After filtration to remove LiCl and volatiles were removed in vacuo, the crude product was recrystallized in hexanes to give THF-free ZrCl(NMe₂)₃, as its ¹H NMR spectrum shown in Fig. S4. ZrCl(NMe₂)₃ was then reacted with ⁱPr-N=C-N-ⁱPr $(n_{\text{ZrCl}(\text{NMe}_2)_3}: n_{\text{Pr-N=C-N-Pr}}=1:2)$, resulting in the formation of ZrCl (NMe₂) [PrNC (NMe₂)NPr]₂ (2, Schme 3). This compound was then characterized by ¹H, ¹³C { ¹H} and HSQC NMR spectroscopies (Fig.S5~S7). In ¹H NMR spectrum (Fig.S5), two doublets at δ 1.32 and 1.37 are assigned to the two different CHMe₂ groups. One peak at δ 2.43 was observed for the C-NMe₂ groups on the guanidinate ligands. These may be understood by the Bailar twist mechanism in Scheme 4 (There is a mirror plane in B) [44]. The exchange leads to an intermediate B in which there is a mirror plane through the molecule. As a result, the two 'Pr groups

Scheme 3 Synthesis of 2

in the bottom face of **B** from two different guanidinate ligands are chemically equivalent. Similarly the two ${}^{\circ}$ Pr groups on the top face, also from two different guanidinate ligands, are chemically equivalent. The two C-NMe₂ groups on the two guanidinate ligands were equivalent. The multiplet at δ 3.59 was assigned to CHMe₂, and the resonances from two different ${}^{\circ}$ Pr groups may overlap here. The peak at δ 3.39 was assigned to the Zr-NMe₂ group.

In the ¹³C {¹H} NMR spectrum (Fig.S6) of ZrCl (NMe₂)[PrNC(NMe₂)N²Pr]₂ (**2**), the two peaks at δ 24.99 and 25.18 were assigned to the CHMe₂ groups. The C-NMe₂ group was observed at δ 39.75. The Zr-NMe₂ group appeared at δ 47.12. The peak at δ 47.58 was assigned to the CHMe₂ group. Finally the peak at δ 172.01 was assigned to the quaternary carbon atom of the C-NMe₂ group. These assignments were confirmed with an HSQC experiment (Fig.S7), and it is consistent with the Bailar twist mechanism in Scheme 4.

The solid product of **2** from the reaction in Scheme **3**, without further purification, passed elemental analysis.

Scheme 4 Bailar twist mechanism for the exchange in 2

2.3 Mechanistic considerations for the reaction between 1 and CCl₄

The mechanistic pathway in the reaction between 1 and CCl₄ was not investigated in the current work.

The following are considerations that based in part on observations in the current studies and in part on the reported properties of CCl₄ and Zr(NMe₂)₂[ⁱPrNC(NMe₂) NⁱPr]₂ (1). It should be pointed out that these

considerations are essentially speculations.

CCl₄ is a radical trap, forming \cdot CCl₃ through its reaction with another radical, as discussed earlier (Eq. (2))^[39]. The C-Cl bond in CCl₄ may also undergo homolytic splitting to give two radicals, \cdot CCl₃ and \cdot Cl, especially under photo-irradiation (Eq. (3))^[45-46]. Our earlier studies of the reactions of 1 with O₂ (Scheme 1) showed 1 may undergo reactions with radicals such as O₂^[19].

$$CCl_4 \xrightarrow{h\nu} \cdot Cl + \cdot CCl_3 \tag{3}$$

One possible pathway in the reaction of 1 with CCl₄ in Scheme 2 is that CCl₄ undergoes the homolytic splitting in Eq. (3) in its solution with Zr (NMe₂)₂ [PrNC(NMe2)NPr]2 (1) in benzene-d6, perhaps during the initial brief exposure of CCl₄ to room light during the sample preparation. The newly formed ·Cl radical attacks a Zr-NMe₂ bond in 1, forming ZrCl (NMe₂) [PrNC(NMe₂)NPr]₂ (2) and radical ·NMe₂. 2 may react with another ·Cl radical, giving the dichloride complex $ZrCl_2[^iPrNC(NMe_2)N^iPr]_2$ (3). The radicals $\cdot CCl_3$, $\cdot NMe_2$ and ·Cl may react with each other or attack other bonds of the molecules, including those of CCl₄, in the solution, giving new radicals such as ·Cl. The newly formed ·Cl may repeat the process described above, giving more products 2 and 3. It is not clear why 2 and 3 are the major products, but not other possible complexes such as (Cl₃C) (Me₂N)Zr[ⁱPrNC (NMe₂)NⁱPr]₂ from the hypothetical attack of •CCl₃ on 1. Giving the nature of radical reactions, it is perhaps not surprising that no major organic products appeared in ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture at the end of the reaction (Fig.S3).

Metathesis or substitution reactions, also known as double displacement reactions, are those involving the exchanges between two reacting chemical species [47-50]. If metathesis occurs in the reaction of $Zr(NMe_2)_2$ [$PrNC(NMe_2)NPr]_2$ (1) with CCl_4 , formation of Me_2N-CCl_3 and/or $(Me_2N)_2CCl_2$ as major products is expected. Since no major organic product appeared to be obvious in the reaction mixture (Fig.S3), metathesis is probably unlikely the pathway.

3 Conclusions

CCl₄ has been used in various reactions as a

radical trap. It is essential to first make sure that CCl_4 does not directly react with reactants. It is not surprising to discover that CCl_4 in fact reacts with **1**, first giving monochloride **2** and then dichloride **3**. Complex **2** is a new compound, and it has been prepared from direct insertion reaction between ZrCl $(NMe_2)_3$ and disopropyl carbodiimide ('Pr-N=C=N-'Pr, $(n_{ZrCl(NMe_3)_3}:n_{Pr-N=C-N-'Pr}=1:2)$).

Acknowledgments: The authors thank financial support by the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grants No.CHE-1362548, CHE-1900296).

Supporting information is available at http://www.wjhxxb.cn

References:

- Crabtree R H. The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals, Ch. 3. 7th Ed. Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley, 2019.
- [2] Hartwig J F. Organotransition Metal Chemistry: From Bonding to Catalysis, Ch. 3. Sausalito, C.A.: University Science Books, 2010
- [3] Pantazis D A, McGrady J E, Besora M, et al. Organometallics, 2008.27:1128-1134
- [4] Hoskin A J, Stephan D W. Coord. Chem. Rev., 2002,233-234:107-129
- [5] Labinger J A, Komadina K H. J. Organomet. Chem., 1978, 155:C25-C28
- [6] Wei J, Duman L M, Redman D W, et al. Organometallics, 2017,36:4202-4207
- [7] Kaipio M, Blanquart T, Banerjee M, et al. Chem. Vap. Deposition, 2014,20:209-216
- [8] Li W, Bai S D, Su F, et al. New J. Chem., 2017,41:661-670
- [9] Bazinet P, Wood D, Yap G P A. Inorg. Chem., 2003,42:6225 -6229
- [10]Fernández-Galán R, Antiolo A, Carrillo-Hermosilla F, et al. Organometallics, 2012,31:8360-8369
- [11] Elorriaga D, Carrillo-Hermosilla F, Antiolo A, et al. Dalton Trans., 2014,43:17434-17444
- [12]Mullins S M, Bergman R G, Arnold J. Dalton Trans., 2006: 203-212
- [13]Baunemann A, Bekermann D, Thiede T B, et al. *Dalton Trans.*, 2008:3715-3722
- [14]Ong T G, Yap G P A, Richeson D S. Chem. Commun., **2003**(20):2612-2613
- [15]Sun J F, Chen S J, Duan Y. Organometallics, 2009,28:3088-3092

- [16]Cook T M, Steren C A, Xue Z L. Dalton Trans., 2018,47: 11030-11040
- [17]Sharma B, Chen S J, Abbott J K C, et al. *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012,51:25-27
- [18]Richmond M G, Xue Z L. Dalton Trans., 2014,43:12390-12395
- [19]Sharma B, Callaway T M, Lamb A C, et al. *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013.52:11409-11421
- [20]Wang R, Zhang X H, Chen S J, et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005.127:5204-5211
- [21]Chen S J, Zhang X H, Yu X, et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007,129:14408-14421
- [22]Stanciu C, Jones M E, Fanwick P E, et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007,129:12400-12401
- [23]Wu Z Z, Cai H U, Yu X H, et al. Organometallics, 2002,21: 3973-3978
- [24]Chen S J, Zhang X H, Lin Z Y. Sci. Chin. Ser. B Chem., 2009,52:1723-1733
- [25]Lu F, Zarkesh R A, Heyduk A F. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2012(3):467-470
- [26]Chen S, Yap G P A, Xue Z. Sci. Chin. Ser. B Chem., 2009, 52:1583-1589
- [27] Chen S J, Zhang J, Yu X, et al. Inorg. Chem., 2010,49:4017 -4022
- [28] Chen T, Zhang X H, Wang C, et al. Organometallics, 2005, 24:1214-1224
- [29]Qiu H, Chen S J, Wang C S. Inorg. Chem., 2009,48:3073-3079
- [30]Yu X, Chen X T, Xue Z L. Organometallics, 2009,28:6642-6645
- [31]Chen S J, Xue Z L. Organometallics, 2010,29:5579-5584
- [32]Lamb A C, Wang Z, Cook T M, et al. *Polyhedron*, **2016,103**: 2-14
- [33]Morton L A, Miao M, Callaway T M, et al. Chem. Commun.,

2013,49:9555-9557

- [34]Lamb A C, Lu Z, Xue Z L. Chem. Commun., 2014,50:10517 -10520
- [35]Woods J B, Beach D B, Nygren C L, et al. Chem. Vap. Deposition, 2005,11:289-291
- [36]Hunter S C, Chen S J, Steren C A, et al. Organometallics, 2015.34:5687-5696
- [37]Cook T M, Lamb A C, Xue Z L. Chin. J. Inorg. Chem., 2017, 33:1947-1958
- [38]Johnston L J, Ingold K U. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986,108: 2343-2348
- [39]Tyler D R, Chen R. Macromol. Symp., 2004,209:231-251
- [40]Schutte E, Weakley T J R, Tyler D R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003,125:10319-10326
- [41]Duncan A P, Mullins S M, Arnold J. Organometallics, 2001, 20:1808-1819
- [42]Kempe R, Hillebrand G, Spannenberg A. Z. Kristallogr.-New Cryst. Struct., 1997,212:490
- [43]Wu Z, Diminnie J B, Xue Z. Inorg. Chem., 1998,37:2570-2574
- [44]Bailar J C. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1958,8:165-175
- [45]Plyusnin V F, Kolomeets A V, Grivin V P, et al. J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011,115:1763-1773
- [46]Yang X, Li Y, Lu A H, et al. Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2011.95:1915-1921
- [47]McNaught A D, Wilkinson A. Compendium of Chemical Terminology. 2nd Ed. (the "Gold Book"). Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1997.
- [48]Dilworth J R, Hussain W, Hutson A J, et al. *Inorg. Synth.*, 1997,31:257-262
- [49]Le Bras J, Jiao H, Meyer W E, et al. J. Organomet. Chem., 2000.616:54-66
- [50]Payack J F, Hughes D L, Cai D, et al. Org. Synth., 2002,79: 19-25